UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

DISTRICT	「OF
	X
[PLAINTIFF NAME],	CIVIL ACTION
Plaintiff	NO.
VS.	
[RAILROAD NAME]	
Defendant	, 2010 X
	COMPLAINT
<u>NAT</u>	URE OF ACTION
1. The plaintiff	_ brings this action against the defendant for
violations of the Federal Rail Safety A	Act, 49 U.S.C. Section 20109.
<u>J</u>	URISDICTION
2. This Court has subject ma	tter jurisdiction in this case pursuant to the Federal
Railroad Safety Act, 49 U.S.C. Section	on 20109(d)(3) (FRSA).
	<u>PARTIES</u>
3. The plaintiff is of	·
4. The defendant	is a railroad carrier providing railroad
transportation, with a usual place of b	ousiness in

FACTS

5. During all times herein mentioned, the defendant Railroad carrier engaged in		
interstate commerce by providing railroad transportation between the states of		
and		
6. At the time of the defendant's FRSA violations, the plaintiff was employed by		
the defendant Railroad as a, and qualified as an employee within the		
meaning of 49 U.S.C. Section 20109.		
7. On, the plaintiff was working as a [describe		
pertinent facts]		
As a result of [defendant Railroad's] conduct, the plaintiff suffered various		
economic harms as well as emotional distress and mental anguish.		
FRSA CAUSE OF ACTION		
The plaintiff adopts by reference and realleges each and every allegation set		
forth in paragraphs 1 through of this Complaint with the same force and effect as if		
set forth under this cause of action.		
The plaintiff engaged in protected activity under the FRSA when he [list		
specific activities protected under the FRSA]		
The defendant Railroad had knowledge of all the protected activities		
referenced above.		
The defendant Railroad took adverse or unfavorable actions against the		
plaintiff in whole or in part due to his protected activities when it [list adverse or		
unfavorable actions taken by Railroad] In so doing, the defendant Railroad acted with		

reckless disregard for the law and with complete indifference to the plaintiff's rights
under the FRSA.
On, the plaintiff filed a FRSA Complaint with the Secretary of
Labor's Region OSHA Whistleblower Office. Exhibit 1. That was within 180 days
from the date the plaintiff became aware of the defendant Railroad's intent to take
adverse or unfavorable personnel action against him.
The Region OSHA Whistleblower Office commenced its investigation.
and the plaintiff fully cooperated with OSHA's investigation. However, OSHA did not
issue a final decision within 210 days after the filing of the FRSA Complaint. The delay
was not due to any bad faith on the part of the plaintiff.
On, OSHA's Whistleblower Office issued a Merit Findings
and Order. Exhibit 2. However, that decision did not become final because on
the defendant Railroad filed objections to the Order and requested a
hearing before an Administrative Law Judge. Exhibit 3. Accordingly, the fact the
Secretary of Labor's Merit Findings and Order did not become a final decision was not
due to any bad faith on the part of the plaintiff.
Pursuant to Section (d)(3) of the FRSA, the plaintiff has a statutory right to
bring an original action in a United States district court for a jury trial regarding the
Railroad's violations of the FRSA. 49 U.S.C. Section 20109(d)(3). On, the
plaintiff filed with the Administrative Law Judge a Notice of Intent to File Original Action.
Exhibit 4.
Pursuant to FRSA 49 U.S.C. 20109(d)(3), the plaintiff now is bringing this
original action at law and equity for de novo review by the United States District Court of

the District of _____, which Court has jurisdiction over this FRSA action without regard to the amount in controversy. WHEREFORE, in order to encourage employees to freely report all injuries without fear of any retaliation, thereby ensuring the Federal Rail Administration has the necessary information to develop and administer an effective rail safety regulatory program that promotes safety in every area of our nation's railroad operations, the plaintiff demands a Judgment under the FRSA for all relief necessary to make him whole, including but not limited to: [list all appropriate remedies, for example] --expungement of all references to disciplinary action related to ______; --lost benefits with interest; --lost wages with interest; --compensatory damages for medical expenses incurred due to defendant's conduct; --compensatory damages for economic losses due to defendant's conduct; --compensatory damages for mental anguish and emotional distress due to defendant's conduct; --the statutory maximum of punitive damages; and --special damages for all litigation costs including expert witness fees and attorney fees. PLAINTIFF DEMANDS TRIAL BY JURY. By his attorneys,